

1 HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES
2
3
4
5
6

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 INGENCO HOLDINGS, LLC, et al.,

11 Plaintiffs,

12 v.

13 ACE AMERICAN INSURANCE
14 COMPANY,

15 Defendant.

16 CASE NO. C13-543RAJ

17 ORDER

18 The court VACATES the August 24 trial date and all pending pretrial deadlines.
19 It will set new deadlines, if necessary, when it rules on the pending motions in this case.

20 The court has delayed trial in this matter twice, both times at the request of the
21 parties. It denied another request for a continuance. Trial has already been delayed by
22 nearly seven months for the parties' convenience. Regrettably, the court continues the
23 trial date again now.

24 This time, it is the parties' protracted disputes over sealing documents that lead the
25 court to continue trial. Much ink has been spilled over those disputes already; for now it
26 suffices to say that the recent motion of third party Guild Associates makes clear that
27 those issues will require substantial additional work to resolve. Guild's motion just
28 became ripe last week. The parties have indicated to the court's in-court deputy clerk
that their uncertainty over what material will be kept under seal is complicating their trial

ORDER – 1

1 preparation,¹ and the court has declined to consider the parties' dispositive motions until
2 it resolves those disputes (or at least receives complete information from the parties and
3 Guild).

4 Rather than set a new trial date and deadlines for motions in limine, trial briefs,
5 proposed jury instructions, and other final pretrial filings, the court will set a new trial
6 date and deadlines if necessary when it resolves all of the pending motions. The court
7 cannot say with any certainty when it will be able to resolve the motions before it.

8 DATED this 16th day of July, 2015.

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



1 The Honorable Richard A. Jones
2 United States District Court Judge

1 The court does not know what the parties' disputes are regarding sealed material with respect to trial preparation, but to the extent that any party believes that the court will seal or otherwise shield from public view the exhibits or depositions that the parties rely on at trial, that party is mistaken.